

The Real-Quaternionic Indicator and it's relation with the Frobenius-Schur indicator

Ran Cui

Broad Institute and MIT

AMS Special Session on Recent Developments in
Automorphic Forms and Representation Theory
New York, May 2017

Outline

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

The
Real-Quaternionic
Indicator

Ran Cui

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

Definitions

Definition (Frobenius-Schur indicator)

(π, V) irrep of G , and $\pi \cong \pi^*$. Then $\exists B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is G -inv and bilinear. Define:

$$\varepsilon(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & B \text{ symm} \\ -1 & B \text{ skew-symm} \end{cases}$$

Definitions

Definition (Frobenius-Schur indicator)

(π, V) irrep of G , and $\pi \cong \pi^*$. Then $\exists B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is G -inv and bilinear. Define:

$$\varepsilon(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & B \text{ symm} \\ -1 & B \text{ skew-symm} \end{cases}$$

Definition (Real-Quaternionic indicator)

(π, V) irrep of G , and $\pi \cong \bar{\pi}$. There exists $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$, which is G -inv, conj linear, and non-zero, such \mathcal{J} satisfies $\mathcal{J}^2 = c \cdot I$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Define:

$$\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}(c)$$

Definitions

Definition (Frobenius-Schur indicator)

(π, V) irrep of G , and $\pi \cong \pi^*$. Then $\exists B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is G -inv and bilinear. Define:

$$\varepsilon(\pi) = \begin{cases} 1 & B \text{ symm} \\ -1 & B \text{ skew-symm} \end{cases}$$

Definition (Real-Quaternionic indicator)

(π, V) irrep of G , and $\pi \cong \bar{\pi}$. There exists $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$, which is G -inv, conj linear, and non-zero, such \mathcal{J} satisfies $\mathcal{J}^2 = c \cdot I$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Define:

$$\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}(c)$$

$\delta(\pi) = 1$ iff π is of **real type**;

$\delta(\pi) = -1$ iff π is of **quaternionic type**.

Existing Results

Theorem (Bourbaki)

$G(\mathbb{C})$ conn red cx Lie group, π finite-dim'l and $\pi \cong \pi^*$.

Then

$$\varepsilon(\pi) = \chi_\pi(z_\rho)$$

$\chi_\pi =$ central character, $z_\rho = \exp(2\pi i\rho^\vee)$

Existing Results

Theorem (Bourbaki)

$G(\mathbb{C})$ conn red cx Lie group, π finite-dim'l and $\pi \cong \pi^*$.

Then

$$\varepsilon(\pi) = \chi_\pi(z_\rho)$$

$\chi_\pi =$ central character, $z_\rho = \exp(2\pi i \rho^\vee)$

Results about δ :

- ▶ N. Iwahori 1958 "On Real Irreducible Reps of Lie Algebras"

Reduced the problem to fundamental representations.

Existing Results

Theorem (Bourbaki)

$G(\mathbb{C})$ conn red cx Lie group, π finite-dim'l and $\pi \cong \pi^*$.

Then

$$\varepsilon(\pi) = \chi_\pi(z_\rho)$$

$\chi_\pi =$ central character, $z_\rho = \exp(2\pi i \rho^\vee)$

Results about δ :

- ▶ N. Iwahori 1958 "On Real Irreducible Reps of Lie Algebras"
Reduced the problem to fundamental representations.
- ▶ Jacques Tits 1967 "Tabellen zu den einfachen Lie Gruppen und ihren Darstellungen"
Listed values of $\delta(\lambda)$, where λ is a fundamental representations of simple Lie groups.

Outline

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ϵ and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

The
Real-Quaternionic
Indicator

Ran Cui

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ϵ and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

When are they the same?

Theorem

Let G be a compact Lie group, and (π, V) an irrep of G , and $\pi^ \cong \pi$, $\bar{\pi} \cong \pi$. Then $\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)$.*

When are they the same?

Theorem

Let G be a compact Lie group, and (π, V) an irrep of G , and $\pi^* \cong \pi$, $\bar{\pi} \cong \pi$. Then $\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)$.

Proof.

π is unitary $\Rightarrow \exists$ G -inv positive-definite Hermitian form \langle, \rangle

π is self-dual $\Rightarrow \exists$ G -inv bilinear form B

When are they the same?

Theorem

Let G be a compact Lie group, and (π, V) an irrep of G , and $\pi^* \cong \pi$, $\bar{\pi} \cong \pi$. Then $\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)$.

Proof.

π is unitary $\Rightarrow \exists G$ -inv positive-definite Hermitian form \langle, \rangle

π is self-dual $\Rightarrow \exists G$ -inv bilinear form B

Define a map $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$ such that:

$$B(v, w) = \langle v, \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle, \quad \forall v, w \in V$$

\mathcal{J} is G -inv, conjugate linear and non-zero

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}^2(v) = cv, \forall v \in V$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

When are they the same?

Theorem

Let G be a compact Lie group, and (π, V) an irrep of G , and $\pi^* \cong \pi$, $\bar{\pi} \cong \pi$. Then $\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)$.

Proof.

π is unitary $\Rightarrow \exists G$ -inv positive-definite Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

π is self-dual $\Rightarrow \exists G$ -inv bilinear form B

Define a map $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$ such that:

$$B(v, w) = \langle v, \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle, \quad \forall v, w \in V$$

\mathcal{J} is G -inv, conjugate linear and non-zero

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}^2(v) = cv, \forall v \in V$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Short calculation $\Rightarrow \varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn} \left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle}{\langle w, v \rangle} \right)$

$\Rightarrow \varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn} \left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(v) \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} \right) = 1$



When are they different?

Consider $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, (π, V) is the rep with natural action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on a 2-dim complex vector space.

The
Real-Quaternionic
Indicator

Ran Cui

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

When are they different?

Consider $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, (π, V) is the rep with natural action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on a 2-dim complex vector space.

RQ indicator

Naturally, this is of real type. Therefore $\delta(\pi) = 1$.

When are they different?

Consider $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, (π, V) is the rep with natural action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on a 2-dim complex vector space.

RQ indicator

Naturally, this is of real type. Therefore $\delta(\pi) = 1$.

FS indicator

Consider bilinear form:

$$B(v, w) = v^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} w$$

It is skew-symmetric $B(v, w) = -B(w, v)$ and G -invariant

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Therefore $\varepsilon(\pi) = -1$.

Outline

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

The
Real-Quaternionic
Indicator

Ran Cui

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

Theorem (C.)

Let $(\pi, V) \cong (\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ be finite-dim'l irrep a real reductive Lie group G , then

1. π Hermitian $\Rightarrow \delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)\chi_{\pi}(x^2)$
2. π non-Hermitian $\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\tilde{\pi})\chi_{\pi}(x^2)$, where
 $\tilde{\pi} = \text{Ind}_G^{\gamma G} \pi$

x is the "strong real form" of G , which means $\text{Ad}(x) = \theta$, $x^2 \in Z(G)$, θ is the Cartan involution of G . γG is a extended group of G .

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Let $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the Cartan involution of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is

$$\theta(g) = (g^T)^{-1}$$

The strong real form of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note $x^2 = -I$

$$1 = \delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)\chi_\pi(x^2) = -1 \cdot -1$$

π is Hermitian

Proof of main theorem

Let \langle , \rangle denote a G -invariant Hermitian form on V ,
 B denote a G -invariant bilinear form.

Define $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$ like before:

$$B(v, w) = \langle v, \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle$$

π is Hermitian

Proof of main theorem

Let \langle, \rangle denote a G -invariant Hermitian form on V ,
 B denote a G -invariant bilinear form.

Define $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$ like before:

$$B(v, w) = \langle v, \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle$$

Again we have

$$\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \operatorname{sgn} \left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle}{\langle w, v \rangle} \right)$$

π is Hermitian

Proof of main theorem

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote a G -invariant Hermitian form on V ,
 B denote a G -invariant bilinear form.

Define $\mathcal{J} : V \rightarrow V$ like before:

$$B(v, w) = \langle v, \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle$$

Again we have

$$\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \operatorname{sgn} \left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle}{\langle w, v \rangle} \right)$$

Now what?

c-invariant Hermitian Form

Ordinary inv Hermitian form satisfies:

$$\langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle = \langle v, \pi(g^{-1})w \rangle$$

$\sigma = \text{real structure}$, a σ -invariant Hermitian form satisfies:

$$\langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle^\sigma = \langle v, \sigma(\pi(g^{-1}))w \rangle^\sigma$$

σ_0 given by the **real form** $G \rightsquigarrow \langle, \rangle$

σ_c given by the **compact real form** of $G(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow \langle, \rangle^c$.

Relation between \langle, \rangle and \langle, \rangle^c

Proposition (Adams, van Leeuwen, Trapa, Vogan)

Let G be equal rank, π is finite-dim'l. Let \langle, \rangle^c be a pos-def c -inv Hermitian form. The form \langle, \rangle defined as:

$$\langle v, w \rangle := \mu^{-1} \langle x \cdot v, w \rangle^c$$

is an ordinary Hermitian form.

x is the "strong real form", i.e., $Ad(x) = \theta$, Cartan involution, and $x^2 \in Z(G)$. μ is a square root of $\chi_\pi(x^2)$

G equal rank, π Hermitian

Rewrite $\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}\left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle}{\langle w, v \rangle}\right)$ in terms of \langle, \rangle^c :

$$\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}\left(\mu^{-2} \frac{\langle x \cdot \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle^c}{\langle x \cdot v, w \rangle^c}\right)$$

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

**Proof of the
Hermitian case**

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

G equal rank, π Hermitian

Rewrite $\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}\left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle}{\langle w, v \rangle}\right)$ in terms of \langle, \rangle^c :

$$\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}\left(\mu^{-2} \frac{\langle x \cdot \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle^c}{\langle x \cdot v, w \rangle^c}\right)$$

G equal rk $\Rightarrow x \in G \Rightarrow x \cdot \mathcal{J}(v) = \mathcal{J}(x \cdot v)$.

G equal rank, π Hermitian

Rewrite $\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}\left(\frac{\langle \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle}{\langle w, v \rangle}\right)$ in terms of \langle, \rangle^c :

$$\varepsilon(\pi)\delta(\pi) = \text{sgn}\left(\mu^{-2} \frac{\langle x \cdot \mathcal{J}(v), \mathcal{J}(w) \rangle^c}{\langle x \cdot v, w \rangle^c}\right)$$

G equal rk $\Rightarrow x \in G \Rightarrow x \cdot \mathcal{J}(v) = \mathcal{J}(x \cdot v)$.

Set $w = x \cdot v \Rightarrow \text{RHS} = \text{sgn}(\mu^{-2}) \Rightarrow$

$$\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)\mu^2 = \varepsilon(\pi)\chi_\pi(x^2)$$

G unequal rank, π Hermitian

θ is outer, the strong real form $x \in {}^\gamma G \setminus G$, where γ is distinguished involution in the inner class of θ .

Proposition

Suppose G is unequal rank, $\pi \cong \pi^* \cong \bar{\pi} \cong \pi^h$ then

1. π extends irreducibly to π_\pm
2. $\pi_\pm \cong (\pi_\pm)^* \cong \bar{\pi}_\pm \cong (\pi_\pm)^h$
3. $\exists \langle, \rangle$ and \langle, \rangle^c on π_\pm . They admit the same equation as before:

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \mu^{-1} \langle x \cdot v, w \rangle^c$$

Use the same \mathcal{J} and similar argument to show $\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)\chi_\pi(x^2)$.

Outline

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

π Infinite-Dim'l

Similar arguments, using \langle, \rangle^c pos-def on Lowest K-types.

Theorem

Let G be equal rank real reductive algebraic group, (π, V) is an infinite-dim'l (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with real infinitesimal character. Suppose irrep $\pi \cong \pi^ \cong \bar{\pi} \cong \pi^h$, then*

$$\delta(\pi) = \varepsilon(\pi)\chi_{\pi}(x^2)$$

Outline

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

Signature of Hermitian Forms

The
Real-Quaternionic
Indicator

Ran Cui

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the
Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

Theorem (Adams-Cui)

Let G be an equal rank semisimple group, (π, V) be a finite-dim'l irrep of G , and π is Hermitian. Suppose $h = \langle, \rangle$ be a G -invariant Hermitian form on V , then

$$\text{sgn}(h) = \mu^{-1} \Theta_{\pi}(x)$$

where x is the strong real form of G , μ is a square root of $\chi_{\pi}(x^2)$, Θ_{π} is the global character of π .

Proof

Setting:

$\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ basis of V consisting of T -weight vectors.

$\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ the corresponding T -weights.

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the same?

When are they different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

Setting:

$\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ basis of V consisting of T -weight vectors.

$\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ the corresponding T -weights.

Calculation:

We can define \langle, \rangle^c such that $\{v_i\}$ is orthonormal w.r.t. \langle, \rangle^c .

Fact: we can assume $x \in T$, where $T = H^\theta$, H is a Cartan subgroup of G .

$$\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = \mu^{-1} \langle x \cdot v_i, v_i \rangle^c = \mu^{-1} \lambda_i(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle v_i, v_i \rangle^2 = \mu^{-2} \chi_\pi(x^2) = 1 \Rightarrow \langle v_i, v_i \rangle = \pm 1$$

$$\text{sgn}(h) = \sum_i \langle v_i, v_i \rangle = \sum_i \mu^{-1} \lambda_i(x) = \mu^{-1} \Theta_\pi(x)$$

A Brief History

Definitions

Existing Results

ε and δ

When are they the
same?

When are they
different?

Main Theorem

Theorem

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Proof of the
Hermitian case

Inf-Dim'l Case

Bonus Slides

Thank you!